

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

•					
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,295	10/28/2003	Joseph A. Gonzales	A-2966-AU	4188	
7590 .11/02/2005			EXAMINER		
Richard L. Myers 22872 Avenida Empresa		•	AHMED, A	AHMED, AAMER S	
Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3763		
`	. `		DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 11/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- <u> </u>						
	Application No. Applicant(s)					
	10/695,295	GONZALES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Aamer S. Ahmed	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timurill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 September 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
. —						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-25</u> is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Evaminar				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-992) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 11-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected method of making and method of using claims, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on September 27th, 2005. Furthermore, Applicant's election with traverse of Species A, Figure 6 in the reply filed on September 27th, 2005 is acknowledged. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement. Applicant's argument that Species "D", directed to Figure 13 and Species "B" directed to Figure 8 are of the same species is acknowledged and therefore the proper election requirement is as follows:

 Species
 Figure

 A:
 6

 B:
 8, 13

 C:
 10

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gravener et al U.S. 5,360,417. Gravener et al ('417) discloses a surgical valve (12) having an axis extending between a proximal (16) and a distal end (10), comprising a housing (12) including a proximal (26) housing portion and a distal housing portion (18) cooperating with the proximal housing portion (26) to define a gel cavity (42), a seal material disposed in the gel cavity, the seal

Art Unit: 3763

material including a gel having non-compressible characteristics; a proximal guide tube (22) extending axially proximally from the proximal housing portion; the proximal guide tube facilitating insertion of a surgical instrument into the seal material, a distal guide tube (22) extending axially distally from the distal housing portion, the distal guide tube facilitating retrograde insertion of the surgical instrument (44) into the surgical seal (see figure 7); and wherein the proximal guide tube (22) includes exterior portions (22) extending proximally of the proximal housing portion (26); and interior portions (34) extending distally of the proximal housing portion (26); and wherein the seal material (42) defines an axial channel through the gel; and the proximal guide tube (22) contacts the seal material around the axial channel at the proximal end of the valve (see figure 7); and wherein the seal material and the first housing portion form a subassembly free of a circumferential seal between the seal material and the first housing portion; and subassembly to form a circumferential seal between the gel and the first housing portion the proximal guide tube applies a force to the seal material in the subassembly to form a circumferential seal between the gel and the first housing portion (see figure 7).

Furthermore, Gravener ('417) discloses, a node (32) and an axial channel cavity (see figure 7), the seal material being configured with the channel in an open state, a subassembly including the seal material disposed in the gel a second housing portion disposed in juxtaposition to the first housing portion and applying a force to the seal material in the subassembly, the force being of a magnitude sufficient to place the channel of the seal material in a closed state (see figure 9); and wherein the guide tube (22) contacts the node (32) of the seal material to apply the force to the seal material.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

Moreover, Gravener et al ('417) teaches, a gel disposed (42) within the gel cavity and having properties including flowability and incompressibility, the gel having characteristics for creating a pressure on the instrument to form a seal with the instrument, and means for moving the second housing portion relative to the first housing portion to increase the pressure of the incompressible gel on the instrument and to create a locking force tending to inhibit movement of the instrument relative to the valve (col. 4 line 64).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gravener et al ('417) in view of Mollenauer et al U.S. Patent Number 5,514,109. Gravener et al ('417) discloses the valve as described above in reference to claim 1. Gravener fails to explicitly describe a luer lock coupled to the distal housing portion. Mollenauer ('109) describes a similar surgical valve with a luer lock (242), see figure 11. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify the surgical valve of

Art Unit: 3763

Gravener et al ('417) by incorporating a luer lock of the type taught by Mollenauer et al ('109) in order to attach the tubing to the housing.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 20030139756 A1 Brustad, John R. US 20030208104 A1 Carrillo, Oscar R. JR. et al. Deem; Mark E. et al. US 5104389 A US 5738664 A Erskine; Timothy J. et al. Fink; E. David US 4960412 A US 20050090713 A1 Gonzales, Joseph A. et al. Gravener; Roy D. et al. US 5722958 A US 5542931 A Gravener; Roy D. et al. US 5634911 A Hermann; George D. et al. US 20050203543 A1 Hilal, Nabil et al. US 20040093018 A1 Johnson, Gar y M. et al. US 20050059865 A1 Kahle, Hen ry et al. US 20030050604 A1 L ui, Chun Kee et al. US 20010049499 A1 L ui, Chun Kee et al. US 5556387 A Mollenauer; Kenneth H. et al. US 4978341 A Niederhauser; Werner US 6117086 A Shulze; John E. US 20040106942 A1 Ta ylor, Scott et al. US 5441486 A Yoon; InBae US 5389080 A Yoon; InBae US 5429609 A Yoon; InBae US 5989233 A Yoon; InBae US 5989232 A Yoon: InBae US 5788676 A Yoon; InBae

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aamer S. Ahmed whose telephone number is 571-272-5965. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,295 Page 6

Art Unit: 3763

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. Ahmed

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY DATEM LITAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Application/Control Number: 10/695,295

Art Unit: 3763

Page 7